



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,846	12/21/2001	Keith Alexander Harrison	30003039-2	5756
7590 01/25/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER TRUONG, THANHNGA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/023,846

Applicant(s)

HARRISON ET AL.

Examiner

Thanhnga B. Truong

Art Unit

2135

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01/05/07 (RCE).
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 42-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 42-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Thanhnga B. Truong
AU2135

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 05, 2007 has been entered. At this time, claims 42-56 are still rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 42-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muftic, U.S. Patent Number 5,745,574, hereinafter "Muftic", in view of Sweet et al, U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0031230, hereinafter "Sweet", further in view of "Handbook of Applied Cryptography" by Menezes, hereinafter "Menezes", and further in view of Brown (US 5,740,361).

Regarding claim 42, Muftic discloses a method of communicating credentials, the method comprising: a first party/u2 (fig. 4, #430), communicating a composite credential/certificate (fig. 3), across a distributed electronic network/Internet (col. 10, lines 35-37), to a second party/u1 (fig. 4, #450), wherein the composite credential/certificate, comprises a plurality of obfuscated credentials (fig. 3, #300-370).

Muftic lacks or does not expressly disclose in which different obfuscation is used for at least two credentials in the composite credential. However Sweet discloses in which different obfuscation is used for at least two credentials in the composite credential/file (paragraph 0143). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Muftic

with the device of Sweet to use different obfuscation for at least two credentials in the composite credential because it facilitates differentiated role-based access to large collections of digital information, as taught by Sweet, (paragraph 0143). Muftic further discloses the second party/u1, de-obfuscating/decrypting (fig. 5, #530 or #510) at least one credential (col. 12, lines 51-52), and the second party communicating to a third party/CA3 (fig. 4, #420), at least one obfuscated credential from the composite credential (col. 13, lines 13-16). Muftic is also silent on the capability to show that a certificate can be communicated from a CA as well as the user.

However, Menezes teaches a certificate may come from a user/trusted third party, (page 39, 1.1 1.3). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the method of Muftic with the method of Menezes to allow a certificate to come from a user because a trusted third party may have access to the secret or private keys of users and therefore send a certificate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Muftic with the method of Menezes.

The above combination of teachings between Muftic, Sweet, and Menezes teaches the method of communicating credentials between the three parties. However, they are silent on the capability of showing wherein the third party could obtain a credential of the first party without directly communicating with the first party. On the other hand, Brown teaches the communication between first party and third party is indirectly and may not be necessary at all (column 5, lines 1-15 of Brown). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of combination of teachings between Muftic, Sweet, and Menezes with the method of Brown, that provides a way to authenticate users and services using a pass-phrase over a computer network without revealing the pass-phrase (column 1, lines 10-12 of Brown).

Regarding claims 43-45, Muftic further discloses a method of communicating credentials according to claim 42 as modified above, wherein the second party/u1, receives a composite credential/certificate, and the second party/u1, modifies the received composite credential/u1, before communicating it to the third

party/CA3 (col. 12, lines 49-51), wherein the second party/u1, receives a composite credential/cedificate, and the second party/u1 communicates the received composite credential/cedificate, to the third party/CA3 (fig. 4), wherein all credentials are obfuscated within the composite credential/cedificate (fig. 3).

Regarding claim 46, Muftic further discloses a method of communicating credentials according to claim 45 as modified above, in which different obfuscation is used for each obfuscated credential in the composite credential (Sweet, paragraph 0143).

Regarding claim 47, Muftic further discloses a method of communicating credentials according to claim 42 as modified above, wherein the composite credential/cedificate, comprises a first credential and a second credential in which the second credential is enveloped by the first credential (digest, col. 12, lines 54-56).

Regarding claim 48-50, Muftic further discloses a method of communicating credentials according to claim 42 as modified above, wherein the first party/u2, communicates to the second party/u1, an obfuscated composite credential/certificate, comprising a first credential and a second credential in which the second credential is enveloped by the first credential (digest, col. 12, lines 54-56), wherein the obfuscated composite credential/certificate, is de-obfuscated/decrypted, by the second party/u1, thereby to obtain the first credential and a partly de-obfuscated/decrypted, second credential, which partly de-obfuscated/decrypted, second credential is communicated by the second party/u1, to a third party/CA3, wherein the third/CA3, party de-obfuscates/decrypts, the partly de-obfuscated second credential (col. 12, lines 56-60).

Regarding claim 51, Muftic further discloses a method of communicating credentials according to claim 50 as modified above, wherein the composite credential/cedificate, is at least partly obfuscated, and wherein the second party/u1, de-obfuscates a relevant credential (fig. 5, #530 or #510).

Regarding claim 52-55, Muftic further discloses a method of communicating credentials according to claim 42 as modified above, wherein at least one credential is digitally signed, in which a plurality of credentials is digitally signed, in

Art Unit: 2135

which all credentials in the composite credential/certificate, are digitally signed, in which the composite credential/certificate, is digitally signed (col. 11, lines 36-38).

Regarding claim 56, Muftic further discloses a method of communicating credentials according to claim 42 as modified above, in which the distributed electronic network is the Internet (col. 10, lines 35-37).

Response to Argument

4. Applicant's arguments filed January 05, 2007 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive and are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhnga (Tanya) Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-3858.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The central fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

TBT

January 19, 2007

Thanhnga B. Truong
Primary Examiner AU2135